

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF UNBOUNDED OPERATORS BY ARBITRARILY SMOOTH CARLEMAN KERNELS

IGOR M. NOVITSKIĬ*

Dedicated to Professor V. B. Korotkov in celebration of the 65th anniversary of his birth

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a characterization of all closed linear operators in a separable Hilbert space which are unitarily equivalent to an integral operator in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded and arbitrarily smooth Carleman kernel on $\mathbb{R}^2$. In addition, we give an explicit construction of corresponding unitary operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, $\mathcal{H}$ will denote a separable Hilbert space, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all closed linear operators densely defined in $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{Z}$, the complex plane, the set of all positive integers, the set of all integers, respectively. For an operator S in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$, S^* will denote the Hilbert space adjoint of S .

Let $S : D_S \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an operator of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$. A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the *limit spectrum* $\sigma_c(S)$ of S if there exists an orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\} \subset D_S$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(S - \lambda)e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{C}_\lambda(\mathcal{H})$ denote the collection of all operators $S \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$ for which $\lambda \in \sigma_c(S^*)$.

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the real line $(-\infty, +\infty)$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure, and let $L_2 = L_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space of (equivalence classes of) measurable complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) \overline{g(s)} ds$$

and the norm $\|f\| = \langle f, f \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. A linear operator $T : D_T \rightarrow L_2$, where the domain D_T is a dense linear manifold in L_2 , is said to be *integral* if there

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47G10, 45P05.

Key words and phrases. Integral operator, Carleman operator, Hilbert-Schmidt operator, limit spectrum, unitary equivalence.

*Research supported in part by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst grants A/00/06533, A/01/06997. A version [10] of this paper was written when the author enjoyed the hospitality of the Mathematical Institute of Friedrich-Schiller-University, Jena, Germany.

exists a measurable function $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, a *kernel*, such that, for every $f \in D_T$,

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{T}(s, t) f(t) dt$$

for almost every s in $\mathbb{R}$. If T is an integral operator such that $D_T = L_2$ then $T \in \mathfrak{R}(L_2)$ (see [4, Theorem I.2.8], [3, Theorem 3.10]). A kernel $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be *Carleman* if $\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot) \in L_2$ for almost every fixed s in $\mathbb{R}$. An integral operator with a kernel $\mathbf{T}$ is called *Carleman* if $\mathbf{T}$ is a Carleman kernel, and it is called *bi-Carleman* if both $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^*$ ($\mathbf{T}^*(s, t) = \overline{\mathbf{T}(t, s)}$) are Carleman kernels. Every Carleman kernel, $\mathbf{T}$, defines a *Carleman function* $\mathbf{t}$ from $\mathbb{R}$ to L_2 by $\mathbf{t}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot)}$ for all s in $\mathbb{R}$ for which $\mathbf{T}(s, \cdot) \in L_2$.

We shall recall a characterization of Carleman representable operators [4, Theorem IV.3.6]:

Proposition 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that an operator $S \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$ be unitarily equivalent to an integral operator with Carleman kernel is that S belong to $\mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$.*

While this result deals with Carleman operators in general, and the question whether their kernels can have certain analytic properties is largely beside the point, given any non-negative integer m , we impose on a Carleman kernel $\mathbf{K}$ the following smoothness conditions:

- (i) the function $\mathbf{K}$ and all its partial derivatives on $\mathbb{R}^2$ up to order m are in $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$,
- (ii) the Carleman function $\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{k}(s) = \overline{\mathbf{K}(s, \cdot)}$, and all its (strong) derivatives on $\mathbb{R}$ up to order m are in $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$.

Here and throughout $C(X, B)$, where B is a Banach space (with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$), denote the Banach space (with the norm $\|f\|_{C(X, B)} = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|_B$) of continuous B -valued functions defined on a locally compact space X and *vanishing at infinity* (that is, given any $f \in C(X, B)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset $X(\varepsilon, f) \subset X$ such that $\|f(x)\|_B < \varepsilon$ whenever $x \notin X(\varepsilon, f)$).

Definition 1. A function $\mathbf{K}$ that satisfies Conditions (i), (ii) is called a *SK^m -kernel* [9]. In addition, a SK^m -kernel $\mathbf{K}$ is called a *K^m -kernel* (see [8], [6]) if the conjugate transpose function $\mathbf{K}^*$, $\mathbf{K}^*(s, t) = \overline{\mathbf{K}(t, s)}$, is also a SK^m -kernel, that is, its Carleman function $\mathbf{k}^*$, $\mathbf{k}^*(s) = \overline{\mathbf{K}^*(s, \cdot)}$, satisfies Condition (ii).

We are now in a position to formulate a series of known results on integral representations of operators by SK^m -kernels.

Proposition 2. *Let m be a fixed non-negative integer. Then*

- (A) *if for an operator $S \in \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$ there exist a dense in $\mathcal{H}$ linear manifold D and an orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}$ such that*

$$\{e_n\} \subset D \subset D_S \cap D_{S^*}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S^* e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

then there exists an explicitly computable unitary operator $U_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ such that $T = U_m S U_m^{-1}$ is a bi-Carleman operator having a K^m -kernel (see [6], [7]),

- (B) if for an operator family $\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists an orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \|S_\alpha^* e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \|S_\alpha e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

then there exists an explicitly computable unitary operator $U_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ such that all the operators $U_m S_\alpha U_m^{-1}$ ($\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$) are bounded bi-Carleman operators having K^m -kernels (see [8]),

- (C) if for a countable family $\{S_r : r \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists an orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}$ such that

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|S_r^* e_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then there exists an explicitly computable unitary operator $U_m : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ such that all the operators $U_m S_r U_m^{-1}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) are bounded Carleman operators having SK^m -kernels (see [9]).

In the proposition just formulated, the “explicit computability” of the unitary operators U_m means that they are given by

$$(1) \quad U_m f_n = u_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where $\{f_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$ are orthonormal bases in $\mathcal{H}$ and L_2 , respectively. As the basis $\{f_n\}$, the proof of Proposition uses an arbitrary orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$ provided that $\{e_n\} \subset \{f_n\}$. Given a non-negative integer m , the general method to obtain the second desired basis $\{u_n\}$ for L_2 is to rearrange in a special way the functions

$$(2) \quad u_{k,l}(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|t_{l+1} - t_l|}} y_k \left(\frac{s - t_l}{|t_{l+1} - t_l|} \right) & \text{if } s \in [t_l, t_{l+1}], \\ 0 & \text{if } s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [t_l, t_{l+1}] \end{cases} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $-\infty < \dots < t_l < t_{l+1} < \dots < +\infty$, with $t_l \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $l \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and $\{y_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L_2[0, 1]$ whose terms are eigenfunctions of a self-adjoint differential operator L on $[0, 1]$ induced by the differential expression $l(y) = y^{2m+2}$ and by the boundary conditions $y^{(i)}(0) = y^{(i)}(1) = 0$ ($i = 0, \dots, m$).

The purpose of this paper is to restrict the conclusion of Proposition 1 to arbitrarily smooth Carleman kernels. Now define these kernels.

Definition 2. We say that a function $\mathbf{K}$ is a SK^∞ -kernel (K^∞ -kernel) if it is a SK^m -kernel (K^m -kernel) for each non-negative integer m .

Theorem. If $S \in \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$, then there exists a unitary operator $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ such that the operator $T = U_\infty S U_\infty^{-1}$ is a Carleman operator having SK^∞ -kernel.

It should be noted that this theorem, which is our main result, both extends the singleton case of the statement **(C)** of Proposition 2 to unbounded operators of $\mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$ and restricts its conclusion to SK^∞ -kernels.

Theorem will be proved in the next section of the present paper. The proof yields an explicit construction of the unitary operator $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$. The construction of U_∞ is independent of those spectral points of S that are different from 0, and is defined, as in (1), by $U_\infty f_n = u_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), where $\{f_n\}, \{u_n\}$ are orthonormal bases in $\mathcal{H}$ and L_2 , respectively, whose elements can be explicitly described in terms of the operator S . Unlike the functions (2), the functions u_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) in the proof below are naturally required to be arbitrarily smooth on $\mathbb{R}$, and Section 3 of this paper exhibits an explicit example of such a basis $\{u_n\}$ adopted from the wavelet theory.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM

The proof consists of three steps. The first step is some preparation for the next two steps. In this step we split the operator $S \in \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$ in order to construct auxiliary operators J, Γ, B, Q . Using these operators, in the second step we describe suitable orthonormal bases $\{u_n\}, \{f_n\}$ in $L_2, \mathcal{H}$, respectively, and suggest (as U_∞ in the theorem) a certain unitary operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to L_2 that sends the basis $\{f_n\}$ onto the basis $\{u_n\}$. The rest of the proof is a straightforward verification that the constructed unitary operator is indeed as desired.

Preparing. Let $S \in \mathfrak{C}_0(\mathcal{H})$, and let $\{e_k\} \subset D_{S^*}$ be that orthonormal sequence for which

$$(3) \quad \sum_k \|S^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} < \infty$$

will do (the sum notation $\sum_k$ will always be used instead of the more detailed symbol $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}$). Let H be the closed linear span of the e_k 's, and let $H^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of H in $\mathcal{H}$. Since $S^* \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$(4) \quad H \subset D_{S^*}.$$

If E is the orthogonal projection onto H , consider the decomposition

$$(5) \quad S = (1 - E)S + ES.$$

Since $E \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathcal{H})$, it follows via definition of the adjoint that $(ES)^* = S^*E$. Observe that the operator $J = S^*E$ is nuclear; this property follows immediately from (3) and (4). Assume, with no loss of generality, that $\dim H^\perp = \dim H = \infty$, and choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_k^\perp\}$ in $H^\perp$ so that

$$(6) \quad \{e_k^\perp\} \subset (1 - E)D_{S^*}.$$

For each $f \in D_{S^*}$ and for each $h \in \mathcal{H}$, let

$$(7) \quad z(f) = \|S^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + 1, \quad d(h) = \|Jh\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} + \|J^*h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}} + \|\Gamma^*h\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where

$$(8) \quad \Gamma = S^*\Lambda, \text{ and } \Lambda = \sum_k \frac{1}{kz(e_k^\perp)} \langle \cdot, e_k^\perp \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_k^\perp.$$

Since, for the basis $\{f_n\} = \{e_k\} \cup \{e_k^\perp\}$,

$$\sum_n \|S^*\Lambda f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_k \frac{\|S^*e_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{k^2(\|S^*e_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}} + 1)^2} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

it follows that Γ^* is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

If $J = \sum_n s_n \langle \cdot, p_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} q_n$ is the Schmidt decomposition for J , then the closedness of S implies that, for every $g \in D_S$,

$$(9) \quad ESg = (ES)^{**}g = (S^*E)^*g = J^*g = \sum_n s_n \langle g, q_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} p_n;$$

here the s_n are the singular values of J (eigenvalues of $(JJ^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$), $\{p_n\}$, $\{q_n\}$ are orthonormal sets (the p_n are eigenfunctions for J^*J and q_n are eigenfunctions for JJ^*).

Introduce one more auxiliary operator B by

$$(10) \quad B = \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \cdot, p_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} q_n,$$

and observe that, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$(11) \quad \|Bf\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\| (J^*J)^{\frac{1}{8}} f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|Jf\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \|B^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\| (JJ^*)^{\frac{1}{8}} f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|J^*f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

if $\|f\| = 1$.

Now consider the operator $Q = (1 - E)S$. The property (6) provides the representation

$$(12) \quad Qg = \sum_k \langle Qg, e_k^\perp \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_k^\perp = \sum_k \langle g, S^*e_k^\perp \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e_k^\perp \quad \text{for all } g \in D_S.$$

Defining a unitary U_∞ . This step is to construct a candidate for the desired unitary operator U_∞ in the theorem.

Notation. If an equivalence class $f \in L_2$ contains a function belonging to $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, then we shall use $[f]$ to denote that function.

Take any orthonormal basis $\{u_n\}$ for L_2 which satisfies conditions:

- (a) the terms of the derivative sequence $\{[u_n]^{(i)}\}$ are in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, for each i (here and throughout, the letter i is reserved for integers in $[0, +\infty)$),

- (b) $\{u_n\} = \{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cup \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, where $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \cap \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \emptyset$, and, for each i ,

$$(13) \quad \sum_k H_{k,i} < \infty \quad \text{with } H_{k,i} = \left\| [h_k]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

- (c) there exist a subsequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \{e_k\}$ and a strictly increasing sequence $\{n(k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of positive integers such that, for each i ,

$$(14) \quad \sum_k d(x_k) (G_{k,i} + 1) < \infty \quad \text{with } G_{k,i} = \left\| [g_k]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(15) \quad \sum_k k z \left(e_k^\perp \right) H_{n(k),i} < \infty.$$

Remark. Let $\{u_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for L_2 such that, for each i ,

$$(16) \quad [u_n]^{(i)} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(17) \quad \left\| [u_n]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq D_n A_i \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(18) \quad \sum_k D_{n_k} < \infty,$$

where $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ are sequences of positive numbers, and $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$. Since $d(e_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the basis $\{u_n\}$ satisfies Conditions (a) through (c) with $h_k = u_{n_k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{u_n\} \setminus \{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. The next section of the present paper exemplifies the existence of such a basis $\{u_n\}$.

Let us return to the proof of the theorem. Let $\{x_k^\perp\} = \{e_k^\perp\} \cup (\{e_k\} \setminus \{x_k\})$, and let $\{f_n\} = \{x_k\} \cup \{x_k^\perp\}$. Define a unitary operator $U_\infty : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L_2$ on the basis vectors by setting

$$(19) \quad U_\infty x_k^\perp = h_k, \quad U_\infty x_k = g_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

in the harmless assumption that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(20) \quad U_\infty f_k = u_k, \quad U_\infty e_k^\perp = h_{n(k)},$$

where $n(k)$ is just that sequence which occurs in Condition (c).

Verifying. To show that the unitary operator U_∞ defined in (19) has the desired properties, it is to be proved that $T = U_\infty S U_\infty^{-1}$ is an integral operator having a SK^∞ -kernel. For this purpose, verify that so are the operators $P = U_\infty Q U_\infty^{-1}$ and $F = U_\infty J^* U_\infty^{-1}$.

Using (12), (20), one can write

$$(21) \quad Pf = \sum_k \langle f, T^* h_{n(k)} \rangle h_{n(k)} \quad \text{for all } f \in D_T = U_\infty D_S,$$

where, by (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad T^* h_{n(k)} &= \sum_n \left\langle S^* e_k^\perp, f_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n \\
 &= kz \left(e_k^\perp \right) \sum_n \left\langle e_k^\perp, \Gamma^* f_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Prove that, for any fixed i , the series

$$\sum_n \left\langle e_k^\perp, \Gamma^* f_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

converge in the norm of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, all these series are pointwise dominated on $\mathbb{R}$ by one series

$$\sum_n \|\Gamma^* f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \right|,$$

which converges uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$ because its component subseries

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_k \|\Gamma^* x_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [g_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|, \\
 &\sum_k \left\| \Gamma^* x_k^\perp \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \left| [h_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

are in turn dominated by the convergent series

$$\sum_k d(x_k) G_{k,i}, \quad \sum_k \|\Gamma^*\| H_{k,i},$$

respectively (see (19), (7), (14), (13)). Whence it follows via (22) that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(23) \quad \left\| [T^* h_{n(k)}]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq C_i kz \left(e_k^\perp \right),$$

with a constant C_i independent of k . From (22) it follows also that

$$(24) \quad \|T^* h_{n(k)}\| \leq kz \left(e_k^\perp \right) \|\Gamma\| \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Consider functions $\mathbf{P} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_2$, defined, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (25) \quad \mathbf{P}(s, t) &= \sum_k [h_{n(k)}](s) \overline{[T^* h_{n(k)}](t)}, \\
 \mathbf{p}(s) &= \overline{\mathbf{P}(s, \cdot)} = \sum_k \overline{[h_{n(k)}](s)} T^* h_{n(k)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The termwise differentiation theorem implies that, for each i and each integer $j \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^{i+j}\mathbf{P}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j}(s, t) &= \sum_k [h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s) \overline{[T^* h_{n(k)}]^{(j)}(t)}, \\ \frac{d^i \mathbf{P}}{ds^i}(s) &= \sum_k \overline{[h_{n(k)}]^{(i)}(s)} T^* h_{n(k)},\end{aligned}$$

since, by (23), (24), and (15), the series displayed converge (absolutely) in $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$, respectively. Thus, $\frac{\partial^{i+j}\mathbf{P}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j} \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, and $\frac{d^i \mathbf{P}}{ds^i} \in C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$. Observe also that, by (24), (15), and (25), the series (21) (viewed, of course, as one with terms belonging to $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$) converges (absolutely) in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ -norm to the function

$$[Pf](s) \equiv \langle f, \mathbf{p}(s) \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{P}(s, t) f(t) dt.$$

Thus, P is an integral operator, and $\mathbf{P}$ is its SK^∞ -kernel.

Since $\|S^* e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|J e_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all k , from (3) it follows via (11) that the operator B defined in (10) is nuclear, and hence

$$(26) \quad \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Then, according to (9) and (10), a kernel which induces the nuclear operator F can be represented by the series

$$(27) \quad \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} U_\infty B^* q_n(s) \overline{U_\infty B p_n(t)}$$

convergent almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The functions used in this bilinear expansion can be written as the series convergent in L_2 :

$$U_\infty B p_k = \sum_n \langle p_k, B^* f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n, \quad U_\infty B^* q_k = \sum_n \langle q_k, B f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} u_n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Show that, for any fixed i , the functions $[U_\infty B p_k]^{(i)}$, $[U_\infty B^* q_k]^{(i)}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) make sense, are all in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, and their $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ -norms are bounded independent of k . Indeed, all the series

$$\sum_n \langle p_k, B^* f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s), \quad \sum_n \langle q_k, B f_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

are dominated by one series

$$\sum_n (\|B^* f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|B f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left| [u_n]^{(i)}(s) \right|.$$

This series converges uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$, since it consists of two uniformly convergent in $\mathbb{R}$ subseries

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k (\|B^*x_k\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|Bx_k\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \left| [g_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|, \\ & \sum_k \left(\|B^*x_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|Bx_k^\perp\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right) \left| [h_k]^{(i)}(s) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which are dominated by the following convergent series

$$\sum_k d(x_k)G_{k,i}, \quad \sum_k 2\|B\|H_{k,i},$$

respectively (see (7), (11), (14), (13)). Thus, for functions $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_2$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(s, t) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [U_\infty B^* q_n](s) \overline{[U_\infty B p_n](t)}, \\ \mathbf{f}(s) &= \overline{\mathbf{F}(s, \cdot)} = \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{[U_\infty B^* q_n](s)} U_\infty B p_n, \end{aligned}$$

one can write, for all non-negative integers i, j and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{i+j} \mathbf{F}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j}(s, t) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} [U_\infty B^* q_n]^{(i)}(s) \overline{[U_\infty B p_n]^{(j)}(t)}, \\ \frac{d^i \mathbf{f}}{ds^i}(s) &= \sum_n s_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{[U_\infty B^* q_n]^{(i)}(s)} U_\infty B p_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the series converge in $C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, $C(\mathbb{R}, L_2)$, respectively, because of (26). This implies that $\mathbf{F}$ is a SK^∞ -kernel of F .

In accordance with (5) and (9), we have, for each $f \in D_T = U_\infty D_S$,

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{P}(s, t) f(t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{F}(s, t) f(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbf{P}(s, t) + \mathbf{F}(s, t)) f(t) dt$$

for almost every s in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore T is a Carleman operator, and that kernel $\mathbf{K}$ of T , which is defined by $\mathbf{K}(s, t) = \mathbf{P}(s, t) + \mathbf{F}(s, t)$ ($s, t \in \mathbb{R}$), inherits the SK^m -kernel properties (i), (ii) from its terms, for each m . Consequently, $\mathbf{K}$ is a SK^∞ -kernel. The proof of the theorem is complete.

3. EXAMPLE OF THE BASIS $\{u_n\}$

What follows is an example of a basis satisfying (16) through (18). Let u be Lemarié-Meyer wavelet,

$$u(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi(\frac{1}{2}+s)} \operatorname{sgn} \xi b(|\xi|) d\xi \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}),$$

with the bell function b belonging to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (for construction of the Lemarié-Meyer wavelets we refer to [5], [1, § 4], [2, Example D, p. 62]). Then u belongs to the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, and hence all the derivatives $[u]^{(i)}$ are

in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. The “mother function” u generates an orthonormal basis for L_2 by

$$u_{jk}(s) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}} u(2^j s - k) \quad (j, k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Rearrange, in a completely arbitrary manner, the orthonormal set $\{u_{jk}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ into a simple sequence, so that it becomes $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since, in view of this rearrangement, to each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there corresponds a unique pair of integers j_n, k_n , and conversely, we can write, for each i ,

$$\left\| [u_n]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} = \left\| [u_{j_n k_n}]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})} \leq D_n A_i,$$

where

$$D_n = \begin{cases} 2^{j_n^2} & \text{if } j_n > 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{|j_n|} & \text{if } j_n \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad A_i = 2^{(i+\frac{1}{2})^2} \left\| [u]^{(i)} \right\|_{C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})}.$$

Whence it follows that if $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a subsequence such that $j_{n_k} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sum_k D_{n_k} < \infty.$$

Thus, the basis $\{u_n\}$ satisfies Conditions (16) through (18) and, consequently, Conditions (a) through (c) in the proof of Theorem.

CONCLUDING REMARK

We conclude this paper by claiming that statements **(A)**, **(B)**, **(C)** of Proposition 2 hold if we replace everywhere the number m by ∞ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks the Mathematical Institute of the University of Jena for its hospitality, and specially Prof. W. Sickel and Prof. H.-J. Schmeißer for fruitful discussion on applying wavelets in integral representation theory.

REFERENCES

1. P. Ausher, G. Weiss, M. V. Wickerhauser, *Local sine and cosine bases of Coifman and Meyer and the construction of smooth wavelets*, in *Wavelets: a tutorial in theory and applications* (C. K. Chui, Ed.), Academic Press, (1992), 237-256.
2. E. Hernández, G. Weiss, *A First Course on Wavelets* (CRC Press, New York, 1996).
3. P. Halmos, V. Sunder, *Bounded integral operators on L^2 spaces* (Springer, Berlin, 1978).
4. V. B. Korotkov, *Integral operators* (in Russian, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1983).
5. P. G. Lemarié, Y. Meyer, *Ondelettes et bases hilbertiennes*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 2, (1986), 1–18.
6. I. M. Novitskiĭ, *Reduction of linear operators in L_2 to integral form with smooth kernels*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* (5) 318 (1991) 1088–1091; English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (3) 43 (1991) 874–877.
7. ———, *Unitary equivalence of linear operators and integral operators with smooth kernels*, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* (9) 28 (1992) 1608–1616; English transl. in *Differential Equations* (9) 28 (1993) 1329–1337.

8. ———, *Integral representations of linear operators by smooth Carleman kernels of Mercer type*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 68 (1994) 161–177.
9. ———, *A note on integral representations of linear operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory (1) 35 (1999) 93–104.
10. ———, *Integral representations of unbounded operators*, Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik, Math/Inf/14/01, Universität Jena, Germany, 2001.

Current address: Institute for Applied Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 9, Shevchenko Street, Khabarovsk 680 000, Russia

E-mail address: `novim@iam.khv.ru`